

1 2 3 4 5 6	Simeon Herskovits, Nevada Bar No. 11155 ADVOCATES FOR COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENT P.O. Box 1075 El Prado, New Mexico 87529 Phone: (575) 758-7202 Fax: (575) 758-7203 Email: simeon@communityandenvironment.net Counsel for Plaintiffs White Pine County, et al.	Michael Wheable, Nevada Bar No. 12518 White Pine County District Attorney County Courthouse 801 Clark St., Suite 3 Ely, Nevada 89301 Phone: (775) 293-6565 Fax: (775) 289-1559 Email: MWheable@whitepinecountynv.gov Resident Counsel for Plaintiffs White Pine County, et al.
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA SOUTHERN DIVISION	
9	SOUTHER	(DI VISION
10	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,)	
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v. ,	Case No. 2:14-cv-00226-APG-VCF
13	UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND)	(Consolidated with 2:14-cv-00228-APG-VCF)
14	MANAGEMENT, et al.,	
	Defendants,)	
15	and)	PLAINTIFFS WHITE PINE
16 17	SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY,)	COUNTY, ET AL.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
18)	
19	Defendant-Intervenor.	
20	WHITE PINE COUNTY, et al.,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	v.)	
23	UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND) MANAGEMENT, et al.,)	
24	Defendants,	
25	and Defendants,	
26	SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER)	
27	AUTHORITY,	
28	Defendant-Intervenor.	
	PLAINTIFFS WHITE PINE COUNTY ET AL.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	

Page 1 of 3

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Plaintiffs White Pine County, Great Basin Water Network, Central Nevada Regional Water Authority, Sierra Club, Baker Water & Sewer General Improvement District, Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment, Utah Rivers Council, Utah Audubon Council, and League of Women Voters of Salt Lake, Utah (collectively, "Plaintiffs") hereby move for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 56-1 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. For the reasons fully set forth in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, Plaintiffs are entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law. Defendants (collectively, "BLM") have granted to Defendant-Intervenor Southern Nevada Water Authority ("SNWA") a right of way for construction of a pipeline to serve SNWA's Clark, Lincoln, and White Pine Counties Groundwater Development Project in contravention of BLM's duties under the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., the Federal Land Policy and Management Act ("FLPMA"), 43 U.S.C. §§ 1701 et seq., the National Historic Preservation Act ("NHPA"), 16 U.S.C. §§ 470 et seq., and implementing regulations established pursuant to NEPA, FLPMA, and NHPA.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and issue an order:

- (i) Declaring that BLM's FEIS and ROD violate NEPA, FLPMA, NHPA, and regulations promulgated thereunder;
 - (ii) Declaring that the BLM's failure to prepare a Supplemental EIS violates NEPA;
 - (iii) Setting aside BLM's actions;
- (iv) Voiding the validity of the right-of-way pending BLM's full compliance with NEPA, FLPMA, NHPA, and regulations and policies promulgated thereunder;

PLAINTIFFS WHITE PINE COUNTY ET AL.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page 2 of 3

PLAINTIFFS WHITE PINE COUNTY ET AL.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page ${\bf 3}$ of ${\bf 3}$